Note on Explicit Formulae for the Bures Metric

J. Dittmann*
Mathematisches Institut, Universität Leipzig
Augustusplatz 10/11, 04109 Leipzig, Germany
(August 24, 1998)

arXiv:quant-ph/9808044v1 24 Aug 1998

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to derive explicit formulae for the Riemannian Bures metric g on the manifold $\mathcal{D}$ of (finite dimensional) nondegenerate density matrices ϱ. The computation of the Bures metric using these equations does not require any diagonalization procedure. The first equations we give are, essentially, of the form $g = \sum a_{ij} \mathrm{Tr}\ \mathrm{d}\varrho\, \varrho^{i-1}\, \mathrm{d}\varrho\, \varrho^{j-1}$, where $a_{i,j}$ is a matrix of invariants of ϱ. A further formula, $g = \sum c_{ij}\, \mathrm{d}p_i \otimes \mathrm{d}p_j + \sum b_{ij} \mathrm{Tr}\ \mathrm{d}\varrho\, \varrho^{i-1}\, \mathrm{d}\varrho\, \varrho^{j-1}$, is adapted to the local orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D} \approx \mathbb{R}^n \times {}^{\mathrm{U}(n)}/_{\mathrm{T}^n}$ at generic points.

PACS Numbers 03.65.Bz, 02.40.-k, 02.40.Ky

Typeset using REVTEX

*e-mail correspondence: `dittmann@mathematik.uni-leipzig.de`

I. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the manifold of all nondegenerate, positive, Hermitian $n{\times}n$-matrices. The tangent space $\mathrm{T}_\varrho\mathcal{D}$ consists of all Hermitian $n{\times}n$-matrices and the Riemannian Bures metric on $\mathcal{D}$ is defined by, [1],

$$g_\varrho(Y', Y) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\, Y'X\,, \qquad Y, Y' \in \mathrm{T}_\varrho\mathcal{D}\,, \tag{1}$$

where X is the (unique) solution of $\varrho X + X\varrho = Y$. The submanifold of trace one matrices is the space of so called completely entangled mixed states of a finite dimensional quantum system. This metric appears quite naturally on the background of purifications of mixed states and is used in quantum information theory to describe the statistical distance of mixed states. It is an extremal monotone metric and seems to be quite distinguished for several mathematical and physical reasons, see e. g. [2]. It should be mentioned, that (1) defines also a metric on manifolds of stable range densities, but we will deal with the maximal range, only.

Several formulae and approaches for computing the Bures metric were given for low dimensions, e. g. [1,3–6]. If $|\alpha\rangle$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$, are eigenvectors of ϱ with eigenvalues λ_α, then a simple calculation shows that (1) yields, [3],

$$g_\varrho(Y, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{|\langle\alpha|Y|\beta\rangle|^2}{\lambda_\alpha + \lambda_\beta}\,,$$

but such a formula we will not accept as explicit, because it needs the knowledge of eigenvalues.

The aim of this note is to provide several equations for computing the Bures metric in any finite dimension using matrix products, determinants and traces, only. Section II provides expressions for the Bures metric based, essentially, on results of the theory of matrix equations. In Section III we give an equation adapted to the local isometric decomposition of the manifold $\mathcal{D}$.

Notations: The following quantities depend on a positive, Hermitian $n \times n$ - matrix ϱ. In order to simplify the notation the dependence on ϱ will be suppressed. By $\lambda_1 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ we denote the eigenvalues of ϱ and by Λ the diagonal matrix of the λ_i-s. Moreover, V will be the Vandermonde matrix $\left(\lambda_i^{j-1}\right)$. Operators acting on matrices are denoted by bold letters, especially, if not indicated otherwise, $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ denote the left and right multiplication by ϱ. The Bures metric now takes the form

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\ \mathrm{d}\varrho\, \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}}\, \mathrm{d}\varrho\ . \tag{2}$$

We set

$$\chi(t) := \det\left(t\mathbf{1} - \varrho\right) = t^n + k_1 t^{n-1} + \ldots + k_n,$$

$k_0 := 1$ and $k_i := 0$ for $k > n$ or $k < 0$. Hence, $e_i := (-1)^i\, k_i$ is the elementary invariant of degree i and $(-1)^n\chi(t)$ is the characteristic polynomial of ϱ. Moreover, let $p_i := \mathrm{Tr}\,\varrho^i$ so that the differential $\mathrm{d}p_i$ applied to a tangent vector X yields $\mathrm{d}p_i(X) = i\,\mathrm{Tr}\, X\varrho^{i-1}$. Finally, we will several times make use of the matrix

$$P := \begin{bmatrix} p_1 & p_2 & \cdots & p_n \\ p_2 & p_3 & \cdots & p_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ p_n & p_{n+1} & \cdots & p_{2n-1} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{3}$$

For instance we have the

Criterion: *A Hermitian* $n{\times}n$*-matrix* $\varrho \geq 0$ *is a generic point of* $\mathcal{D}$ *iff* $\det P \neq 0$.

Indeed, $P = V^T \Lambda V$. Therefore, $\det P = \det(\Lambda) \det(V)^2 = \det(\varrho) \prod_{i<j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2$. □

II. GENERAL FORMULAE

In order to calculate $g_\varrho(Y', Y)$ one has to solve the matrix equation

$$\varrho X + X \varrho = Y \,. \tag{4}$$

Then $2g_\varrho(Y', Y) = \mathrm{Tr}\, Y'X$. The matrix (resp. operator) equation $EX - XF = Y$ was intensively studied (for a review see [7]). A basic item is that it has a unique solution X if E and F have disjoint spectra (Sylvester, Rosenblum). In our matrix case, $E = \varrho = -F$, this is fulfilled because ϱ is positive. The uniqueness is also clear, not appealing to this theorem, since we may suppose w.l.o.g. ϱ to be diagonal with eigenvalues λ_i and equation (4) becomes $(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) X_{ij} = Y_{ij}$; $i, j = 1, \ldots n$. A further, simple but nice, tool in this theory is the use of similarities of block matrices, in our case e. g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & -X \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\varrho & Y \\ 0 & \varrho \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & X \\ 0 & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\varrho & 0 \\ 0 & \varrho \end{bmatrix} . \tag{5}$$

Applying the polynomial χ as an operator function to both sides leads in the upper right box to the identity

$$\chi(-\varrho) X + M = 0 \,, \tag{6}$$

where M is the upper right box of χ applied to the above middle matrix containing Y;

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{n-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-\varrho)^j Y \varrho^{i-j-1} \,. \tag{7}$$

But $\chi(-\varrho)$ is invertible. This can be seen as follows. The characteristic polynomial of $-\varrho$ equals $(-1)^n \chi(-t)$ and the positivity of ϱ implies that $\chi(t)$ and $\chi(-t)$ have no common divisors. Hence, by the Euclidean algorithm, there exists two polynomials p, q such that $p(t)\chi(t) + q(t)\chi(-t) = 1$, and inserting ϱ gives $q(\varrho)\chi(-\varrho) = \mathbf{1}$. Therefore, the solution X is given by

$$X = -\chi(-\varrho)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{n-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-\varrho)^j Y \varrho^{i-j-1} \,, \tag{8a}$$

or, in a more compact form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{1} & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \chi(-\varrho)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} -\varrho & Y \\ 0 & \varrho \end{bmatrix}\right) . \tag{8b}$$

The first explicit formula we get for the Bures metric is:

Proposition 1:

$$g(Y', Y) := -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Y' & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \chi(-\varrho)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \chi\left(\begin{bmatrix} -\varrho & Y \\ 0 & \varrho \end{bmatrix}\right) . \tag{9}$$

□

The inverse of $\chi(-\varrho)$ is again a polynomial expression in ϱ. Therefore, rewriting (8a) using the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, X can be transformed to the form $\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a_{ij}\,\varrho^{i-1} Y \varrho^{j-1}$ with exponents less than n and coefficients being invariants of ϱ. That means,

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}} = \sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a_{ij} \mathbf{L}^{i-1} \mathbf{R}^{j-1} \tag{10a}$$

$$= \left(\mathbf{Id}, \mathbf{L}, \ldots, \mathbf{L}^{n-1}\right) A \left(\mathbf{Id}, \mathbf{R}, \ldots, \mathbf{R}^{n-1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} , \quad A := (a_{i,j}) . \tag{10b}$$

(Of course, this can be seen also directly. Since $\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}$ acts on a finite dimensional space, its inverse is a polynomial in $\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}$, which again can be reduced to the above form using $\chi(\mathbf{L}) = \mathbf{L}_{\chi(\varrho)} = 0$ and similar for $\mathbf{R}$.) The solution of (4) given by Smith in [8] is, essentially, of the above form $\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a_{ij}\varrho^{i-1} Y \varrho^{j-1}$. The formulae for the coefficients in terms of invariants of ϱ one reads off from [8] will be given at the end of this section.

The representation (10) is unique provided ϱ is generic. Indeed, if there were coefficients such that $\sum_{1\le i,j\le n} a_{ij}\mathbf{L}^{i-1}\mathbf{R}^{j-1} = 0$ then applying this operator to all vectors of a common eigenbasis of $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{R}$ would result in $V^{\mathrm{T}} A V = 0$. But the Vandermonde matrix V is nondegenerate for a generic ϱ and we would conclude $a_{ij} = 0$. Moreover, in the generic case the matrix A is necessarily symmetric.

To get a compact expression for the coefficients in (10) we define a $n{\times}n$-matrix K by

$$K := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\ -k_n & -k_{n-1} & -k_{n-2} & \ldots & -k_1 \end{bmatrix} . \tag{11}$$

K carries out the reduction of powers of ϱ by $\chi(\varrho) = 0$. Indeed we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varrho \\ \varrho^2 \\ \vdots \\ \varrho^n \end{bmatrix} = K \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \varrho \\ \vdots \\ \varrho^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and similar for the reduction of powers of $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{R}$. Thus, multiplying (10b) by $\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}$ leads to

$$K^{\mathrm{T}} A + A K = C , \tag{12}$$

where

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}$$

represents the identity operator $\mathbf{Id} = \mathbf{L}^0\mathbf{R}^0$. Note that K has the same characteristic polynomial as ϱ. Now we may proceed as above to find A. We replace in (5) $-\varrho$, ϱ, X and Y by $-K^{\mathrm{T}}$, K, A and C and apply $\chi(t)$. Instead of (8) and (7) we obtain

$$A = -\chi(-K^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}N\,, \tag{14}$$

where

$$N = \chi\Big(\begin{bmatrix} -K^{\mathrm{T}} & C \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}\Big)_{1\,2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{n-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-K^{\mathrm{T}})^j C K^{i-j-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} k_{n-1} & k_{n-2} & \dots & k_1 & 1 \\ -k_{n-2} & -k_{n-3} & \dots & -1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ (-1)^{n-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \Big[\ (-1)^{i+1} k_{n+1-i-j}\ \Big]_{i,j=1}^{n}\ . \tag{15}$$

To see the last equation note that ${K^{\mathrm{T}}}^k C K^j$ has only a 1 in the $(k+1, j+1)$ - position; K moves the 1 coming from C to the right and K^{T} moves it down. Hence we get

Proposition 2: *The Bures metric equals*

$$g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \mathrm{Tr}\ \mathrm{d}\varrho\, \varrho^{i-1}\, \mathrm{d}\varrho\, \varrho^{j-1}\,, \tag{16}$$

where $(a_{ij}) = -\chi(-K^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}N$, K *and* N *given by (15) and (11).* □

The probably "most explicit" form of the coefficients a_{ij} is given by

Proposition 3 (R. A. Smith):

$$a_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^i}{2\ \det H} \sum_{r=0}^{n-i} \sum_{s=0}^{n-j} (-1)^r k_r k_s \Phi(\frac{i+j+r+s}{2})\,, \tag{17}$$

where

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_3 & \dots & k_{2n-1} \\ k_0 & k_2 & \dots & k_{2n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & k_n \end{bmatrix} = [\ k_{2j-i}\ ]_{i,j=1}^{n} \tag{18}$$

and $\Phi(m) = 0$ *if* m *is not an integer, and otherwise* $\Phi(m)$ *is the cofactor in* $\det H$ *of the element in the first row and* m*-th column of* H. □

Remark: *The determinant of H is not equal zero, more precisely:*

$$\det H = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \prod_i \lambda_i \prod_{i<j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \neq 0.$$

Indeed, changing the order of rows and columns we get $\det H = (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \det [e_{n+1-2i+j}]$. But the last determinant is just the (symmetric) Schur function of the eigenvalues of ϱ (comp. [9], I.3) related to the partition $(n, n-1, \ldots, 1) = (1, \ldots, 1) + (n-1, \ldots, 0)$ leading to the above product. $\square$

III. A FORMULA ADAPTED TO $\mathcal{D} \approx \mathbb{R}^n \times {}^{\mathrm{U}(n)}/_{\mathrm{T}^n}$

Every $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}$ can be diagonalized with a suitable unitary u;

$$\varrho = u\mu^2 u^* , \qquad \mu = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) , \quad \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

and we have $\mathrm{d}\varrho = 2u\,\mu\,\mathrm{d}\mu\, u^* + u\,[u^*\,\mathrm{d}u\,, \mu^2]\,u^*$. The inverse problem now splits into

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{L}_\varrho + \mathbf{R}_\varrho} (\,\mathrm{d}\varrho\,) = u \left(\mu^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}\mu + \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}_{\mu^2} + \mathbf{R}_{\mu^2}} ([\,u^*\,\mathrm{d}u\,, \mu^2\,]) \right) u^*$$

and we get for the metric

$$g_\varrho = \mathrm{Tr}\ \mathrm{d}\mu\ \mathrm{d}\mu + \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}\,[\,u^*\,\mathrm{d}u\,, \mu^2\,] \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}_\mu^2 + \mathbf{R}_\mu^2} ([\,u^*\,\mathrm{d}u\,, \mu^2\,])\,. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, in a neighbourhood of a generic point the Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{D}$ locally looks like $\mathbb{R}^n \times {}^{\mathrm{U}(n)}/_{\mathrm{T}^n}$, where $\mathbb{R}^n$ is equipped with the standard metric and the metric on the homogeneous space ${}^{\mathrm{U}(n)}/_{\mathrm{T}^n}$ depends on the first parameter. The tangent space at ϱ splits into

$$\mathrm{T}_\varrho \mathcal{D} = \mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel}_\varrho + \mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel\,\perp}_\varrho\,, \tag{20}$$

where $\mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel}_\varrho$ is the subspace of Hermitian matrices commuting with ϱ. Its orthogonal complement (w. r. to the Bures metric) is the space of all $[a, \varrho]$, a - antihermitian. If ϱ is diagonal then (20) is the decomposition into diagonal and off-diagonal Hermitian matrices.

From now on let ϱ be a generic point. By $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{P}^\perp = \mathbf{Id} - \mathbf{P}$ we denote the (orthogonal) projectors onto the subspaces in (20).

Lemma:

$$\mathbf{P}(Y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \varrho^i \left(P^{-1}\right)_{ij} \mathrm{Tr}\, Y \varrho^{j-1} \tag{21a}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(P^{-1}\right)_{ij} \varrho^i Y \varrho^{j-1}\,. \tag{21b}$$

Proof: To show (21a) we use that for a generic ϱ the powers $\varrho, \varrho^2, \ldots, \varrho^n$ form a basis of the vector space of all Hermitian matrices commuting with ϱ. Moreover, $4\,P_{ij} = g_\varrho(\varrho^i, \varrho^j)$ and $4\,\mathrm{Tr}\,Y\,\varrho^{j-1} = g_\varrho(Y, \varrho^j)$. Having this in mind (21a) is just the usual formula for the orthogonal projection onto a subspace with a given basis. To see (21b) let $Y_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, \ldots, n$ be a common eigenbasis of $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{R}$, $\varrho Y_{\alpha\beta} = \lambda_\alpha Y_{\alpha\beta}$, $Y_{\alpha\beta}\,\varrho = \lambda_\beta Y_{\alpha\beta}$ ($Y_{\alpha\beta}$ - may not be Hermitian). Then the complex span of $\mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel}_\varrho$ resp. $\mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel\perp}_\varrho$ is generated by all $Y_{\alpha\beta}$ with $\alpha = \beta$ resp. $\alpha \neq \beta$. For $Y = Y_{\alpha\beta}$ the right hand side of (21b) yields $\eta_{\alpha\beta} Y_{\alpha\beta}$, where

$$\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left(P^{-1}\right)_{ij} \lambda_\alpha^i \lambda_\beta^{j-1} = \left(\Lambda\, V\, P^{-1}\, V^T\right)_{\alpha\beta},$$

V - the Vandermonde matrix of eigenvalues of ϱ. But $P = V^T\,\Lambda\,V$ implies $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. □

From (21) we now get

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}}\,\mathbf{P}(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \varrho^{i-1} \left(P^{-1}\right)_{ij} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_j}{j}(Y)\,,$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}}\,\mathbf{P}^{\perp}(Y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \left\{a_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\left(P^{-1}\right)_{ij}\right\} \varrho^{i-1} Y \varrho^{j-1}\,,$$

where we used $\mathrm{Tr}\,jY\varrho^{j-1} = \mathrm{d}p_j(Y)$ and $\frac{1}{\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}}(Y) = \frac{1}{2}\varrho^{-1}Y$ for $Y \in \mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel}$. The matrix (a_{ij}) is given by Proposition 2 or 3. Inserting these equations into

$$g = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathbf{P}(\,\mathrm{d}\varrho\,)\frac{1}{\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}}\,\mathbf{P}(\,\mathrm{d}\varrho\,) + \,\mathrm{d}\varrho\,\frac{1}{\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{R}}\,\mathbf{P}^{\perp}(\,\mathrm{d}\varrho\,)\right)$$

yields

Proposition 4: *The decomposition* $g = g_{\restriction_{\mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel}}} + g_{\restriction_{\mathrm{T}^{\shortparallel\perp}}}$ *of the Bures metric is given by*

$$g = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_i}{i}\left(P^{-1}\right)_{ij}\frac{\mathrm{d}p_j}{j} \;+\; \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\left(2a_{ij} - \left(P^{-1}\right)_{ij}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\;\mathrm{d}\varrho\,\varrho^{i-1}\,\mathrm{d}\varrho\,\varrho^{j-1}\,. \tag{22}$$

□

IV. EXAMPLES

Propositions 1-4 involve elementary and power invariants, which can be expressed by each other (comp. [9]). Rewriting the identity

$$mk_m + \sum_{r=1}^{m} p_r k_{m-r} = 0\,, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots$$

as a system of linear equations for the e_i-s resp. the p_i-s one gets the relations

$$e_i = \frac{1}{i!}\det\begin{bmatrix} p_1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ p_2 & p_1 & 2 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{i-1} & p_{i-2} & p_{i-3} & \ldots & i{-}1 \\ p_i & p_{i-1} & p_{i-2} & \ldots & p_1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad p_i = \det\begin{bmatrix} e_1 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 2e_2 & e_1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ (i{-}1)e_{i-1} & e_{i-2} & e_{i-3} & \ldots & 1 \\ ie_i & e_{i-1} & e_{i-2} & \ldots & e_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This identity also allows for expressing the power invariants p_m, $m > n$, by invariants of degree less or equal n. Especially the $i+1$ - row of our matrix P equals $(p_{i+1}, \dots, p_{n+i}) = (p_1, \dots, p_n)K^i$.

The number of terms in Propositions 1-4 rapidly increases with the dimension n. Thus we give only certain expressions for $n = 2, 3$ in terms of power resp. elementary invariants. Concerning Proposition 1 we get

$$g(Y', Y) := \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} \operatorname{Tr} Y'(\varrho^2 + e_1 \varrho + e_2 \mathbf{1})^{-1}(\varrho Y - Y \varrho + e_1 Y) & \text{for } n = 2 \\ \operatorname{Tr} Y'(\varrho^3 + e_1 \varrho^2 + e_2 \varrho + e_3 \mathbf{1})^{-1} \times & \\ \qquad (\varrho^2 Y - \varrho Y \varrho + Y \varrho^2 + e_1(\varrho Y - Y \varrho) + e_2 Y) & \text{for } n = 3 \end{cases}$$

The following terms appear in Propositions 2-4:

<u>$n = 2$</u>:

$$g_{\restriction_{\mathrm{T}''}} = \frac{1}{4(p_1 p_3 - p_2^2)} \left(\tfrac{\mathrm{d}p_1}{1} \ , \ \tfrac{\mathrm{d}p_2}{2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} p_3 & -p_2 \\ -p_2 & p_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_1}{1} \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}p_2}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4e_2(e_1^2 - 4e_2)} \left(\mathrm{d}e_1 \ , \ \mathrm{d}e_2 \right) \begin{bmatrix} e_1 e_2 & -2e_2 \\ -2e_2 & e_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}e_1 \\ \mathrm{d}e_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2e_1 e_2} \begin{bmatrix} e_1^2 + e_2 & -e_1 \\ -e_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2A - P^{-1} = \frac{2}{e_1(e_1^2 - 4e_2)} \begin{bmatrix} -2e_2 & e_1 \\ e_1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{2}{p_1(2p_2 - p_1^2)} \begin{bmatrix} p_2 - p_1^2 & p_1 \\ p_1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

<u>$n = 3$</u>:

$$\det P = -p_3^3 + 2p_2 p_3 p_4 - p_1 p_4^2 - p_2^2 p_5 + p_1 p_3 p_5$$

$$P^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det P} \begin{bmatrix} p_3 p_5 - p_4^2 & p_3 p_4 - p_2 p_5 & p_2 p_4 - p_3^2 \\ * & p_1 p_5 - p_3^2 & p_2 p_3 - p_1 p_4 \\ * & * & p_1 p_3 - p_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2e_3(e_1 e_2 - e_3)} \begin{bmatrix} e_1 e_2^2 + e_1^2 e_3 - e_2 e_3 & -e_1^2 e_2 & e_1 e_2 - e_3 \\ * & e_1^3 + e_3 & -e_1^2 \\ * & * & e_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank A. Uhlmann for stimulating discussions and P. Alberti and K. Schmüdgen for a valuable advice.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Uhlmann: The metric of Bures and the geometrical phase, in: *Quantum Groups and Related Topics*, eds. R. Gielerak et al., Kluwer Acad. Publishers, 1992, pp. 267–274

[2] J. Dittmann and A. Uhlmann: Connections and metrics respecting standard purification, quant-ph/9806028

[3] M. Hübner: Explicit computation of the Bures distance for density matrices, Phys. Lett. **A 163** (1992), 239–242

[4] J. Dittmann: On the Riemannian geometry of finite dimensional mixed states, Sem. S. Lie **3** (1993), 73–87

[5] J. Twamley: Bures and statistical distance for sqeezed thermal states, J. Phys. **A 29** (1996), 3723

[6] P. B. Slater: Bures Metrics for Certain High-Dimensional Quantum Systems, Phys.Lett. **A 244** (1998), 35-42

[7] R. Bhatia and P. Rosenthal: How and why to solve the operator equation $AX-XB=Y$, Bull. London Math. Soc. **29** (1997), 1–21

[8] R. A. Smith: Matrix Calculations for Liapunov Quadratic Forms, J. Differential Equations **2** (1966), 208–217

[9] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 1995